19-11-20

19

Department of Board of Rev. K.A

OFFICE_____

STATION Talaipanda

This **No.**

Dated 21/5/21

To whom sent		Date	Initial.
	Given for copying		
	Copied		
	Examined		
	Signed		
	Given for despatch		
	Despatched	25/5/21	
	Indexed		
Pages in the file	To be destroyed on		

(SUBJECT.)

Forms - Sketch of Kanam - Talati Office - Karkal and Uppinangadi - Forms of Government Lands - To Forms Talati

* Not to be filled in the case of permanent records.

Copy of the letter from the Collector of South Kanara, Ref.No. 600/21-V-2. dated 15th March 1921.

****

In giving effect to the Government Order No.116, Revenue, dated 17th January 1919, communicated with B.P.No.10 Land Revenue, dated 4th February 1919, in which the pay of taluk shroffs was raised, S.Mangesh Rao who was then shroff in the Karkal Taluk Offie on Rs.15 was appointed I grade shroff on Rs.20 and K.Manjunatha, who was shroff in the Uppinangadi taluk office on Rs.15 as II grade shroff on Rs.18 according to seniority on 2nd June 1919 and they were allowed to work in the offices where they were, presumably because their pay was considered as personal. The Accountant-General in his objection statement for November 1920 ordered the retrenchment of Rs.2 drawn for the shroff at Karkal on the ground that the sanctioned pay of the shroff attached to Karkal taluk office was only Rs.18 according to the proposition statement sanctioned in G.O No.995 Mis., dated 30th April 1920. He was informed that the recovery was unnecessary as a I grade shroff on Rs.20 was only doing duty at Karkal and a II grade shroff on Rs.18 was doing duty in the Uppinangadi taluk office in place of a I grade shroff sanctioned for that office and thus no extra cost was incurred. In his further remarks, however, the Accountant-General contends that the pay sanctioned to shroffs is attached to the office and is not personal and that therefore recovery should be made. I consider it undesirable to transfer shroffs from one taluk to another to the prejudice of office work simply because their pay has been increased. As G.O.No.172 Revenue.dated 23rd January 1920 however directs that clerks must work in the offices from which they draw their pay, I request that Government may be pleased either to permit both S. Mangesh Rao and K. Manjunatha to remain where they are and to draw their grade pay or to interchange the Rs.20 and Rs.18 posts between Karkal and Uppinangadi. If the Government think that neither of these arrangements can be sanctiond, I request that sanction may be accorded to allow the present arrangements to continue till the end of May next as the retention of the shroffs in their respective

respective places during the kist months viz., March, April and May is highly desirable. I also request that the retention of both Mangesh Rao and K.Manjunatha at Karkal and Uppinangadi taluk office respectively from 30th April 1920 to the end of February last may be approved.

****

15.4.21

(Mis/Appointments 1-A)

B 1280/21 — A

Treasury - (S. Kanara) - Sanctn -
- Taluk offices: Karkal and Uppinangadi,
- Interchange of posts recommended

Submitted to Government with the recommendation that the interchange of posts on Rs 18 and Rs 20 between Uppinangady and Karkal taluk offices proposed by the Collr may be sanctioned

25/3/21
30

1.4.21 / 1.4.21

To the Secy to Govt R.D
through the A.G.

Note The first alternative suggested by the Collr ~~[illegible]~~ though may be made personal, will be all right so long as both the present incumbents are ~~[illegible]~~ if one should retire before the Collr, it will be necessary to have for some time either two posts on Rs 20 each or two on Rs 18 each; instead of one on Rs 18 + another on Rs 20 / already sanctioned. If the 2nd alternative of

change be adopted the above difficulty can be overcome. Some suggested temporary arrangement / heading perhaps be taken into consideration.

Govt have vetoed the proposal that the pay of these shroffs may be made personal. They have sanctioned the remission of all overdrawals by shroffs up to 31-12-20 due to the variations between the Collector distribution & the sanctioned proposition statement.

The only thing to do in this case is to recommend the remission of disadvantage up to the date of introduction of time scale when each man may remain at his station & get the pay he has been drawing.

Govt have called for proposition statements for giving effect to the revised scale and effect may be given from the date of the order sanctioning the proposition statements if no earlier date is specifically mentioned by Govt. If so a telephone message may be sent to Govt

asking them from what date effect will be given to the new scales of pay.

Ass
4.4.21

Ar
6.4.21

This 14

14
8

~~14~~

~~With~~ ~~ref~~ ~~letter~~ ~~correct~~ 2 ~~of~~ ~~Submit the following draft in reply~~

~~Ends~~ B.12 ~~91/21~~ 12.4.21, B.1280/21 ~~1~~ Q.M.

Submitted to Govt.

2. The Board recommends that remission of disallowance up to the date of introduction of the time scale ~~so that~~ referred to in G.I. no 1147 D. 21.2 & 20.3.1921 so that each person may remain at his station and get the pay he has been drawing.

(Ε) Sanctioned in Govt no 705 Rev & 28.3.1921 may in the present case be extended

Mr
12/4

Ap
9.4.21
11.4.21

M
8.4.21

Ar
11/4/21

To the Secy to Govt K.W. with D.O. letter in ~~original~~ Channel A.P.

Copy of S. Karan.

Secy to Govt of Calcutta

21

Bm
8-4-21

Sectt. 11.26 (a)—20,692—11-2-21.

BOARD OF REVENUE 18 MAY 1921 LAND REVENUE DEPT. MADRAS

Received ..... 192 Registered S 2034 192

Abstract.

Treasury

Shroffs (South Kanara) - Taluk offices - Karkal and Uppinangadi - Interchange - Remission of overdrawals - Sanctioned. - g.o comd

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

To the Collr of S. Kanara.

G.O. No. 1089 Mis. dated 16th MAY 1921.

ENCLOSURE.

No. .....

.....

READ the following papers :—

G.O.No.705 Revenue dated the 28th March 1921.

From the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, No.B/1280/21, dated the 18th April 1921.

Endorsement of the Accountant General, No.S.K.1-10051 dated the 25th April 1921.

****

ORDER.

The Government direct that the sanction conveyed in G.O.No.705 Revenue dated 28th March 1921 should be extended up to the date of introduction of the new time scale of pay in the case of S.Mangesh Rao, shroff in the Karkal taluk office of the South Kanara district.

(By order of the Governor in Council)

J.B.Brown,

Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.
The Accountant General through Finance.

Forwarded to the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue

(By order)

K Veerabhadrayya

Ag. Senior Superintendent.

P.H.16-5-21.